

International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 5, May 2015

Buckling of laminated composite plates using mesh-less method and trigonometricshear deformation theory

Jeeoot Singh

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Birla Institute of Technology Mesra, Ranchi, India

Abstract: The buckling analysis of single layered orthotropic and cross ply laminated composite plate using trigonometric higher order shear deformation theory and meshless method based on the finite point formulation using multiquadric radial basis function is presented. The convergence of the present method is studied for laminated plates with different shape parameters. After the proper convergence of present method several numerical examples of plates subjected to uniaxial and biaxial loading are under taken. The effect of an anisotropy ratio of material on critical buckling load of the plates is also reported with proper validation of present results with earlier published literature.

Keywords: Composite plate, trigonometric theory, buckling, meshless method.

INTRODUCTION I.

engineering applications such as aerospace, automotive, Galerkin method, meshless local Patrov-Galerkin etc. submarines, sports etc. The mathematical modeling of Radial basis function was applied by Xiang et al [4,5] for composite plates is an open discussion. The first order linear flexural and free vibration analysis of the laminated shear deformation proposed by Mindlin [1] and Reissner composite and sandwich plates. Castro et al [6] used [2] considers the uniform transverse shear strain and stress wavelet collocations for static analysis of sandwich plates over the plate thickness as compared to actual parabolic using layer wise theory. In the recent years Ferreira et al variation of transverse stress and strain, due to which it [7,8] used wavelets and Wendland radial basis function for under predicts deflection, natural frequency and buckling buckling analysis of laminated composite plates. Liew and loads. This theory suits well for thin plates, and can be Huang [9] used moving least-squares differential applied to thick plates adding some stress correction quadrature for bending and buckling; Liew et al [10,11] factor. The higher order theory proposed by Reddy [3] is used reproducing kernel approximations and meshfree based on five unknowns of first order shear deformation method for buckling analysis of isotropic circular and theory but counts parabolic variation of transverse shear skew plates. In the present paper multiquadrics radial basis stress and strain, hence requirement of stress correction function is used for buckling analysis of laminated factor is eliminated.

The researchers have employed several analytical and biaxial loading. numerical techniques for solving partial differential equations of laminated plates and shells. In the past few years the meshless methods are being used by for the analysis of laminated composite structures. There are the

Laminated composite structures are used in many many kind of mesh less methods like element free composite and orthotropic plates subjected to uniaxial and

II. MULTIOUADRIC RADIAL BASIS FUNCTION METHOD

Radial basis function based formulation works on the principle of interpolation of scattered data over entire domain. Consider a two dimensional domain having N_B



boundary nodes and $N_{\rm D}$ interior nodes. The variable u over In matrix form above equations are expressed as: the domain and boundary is interpolated in the form of radial basis function. Most commonly used radial basis functions are:

$a - r^{2c} \log r$	Logarithmic or thin plate spline
$g = 1 \log 1$	
	~
$g = e^{-c^2 \times r}$	Gaussian function
$g = r^{c}$	c=1,2,3,4 Polynomial
8	function
$g = (r^2 + c^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$	Multiquadrics function
$g = (r^2 + c^2)^{-1/2}$	Inverse multiquadrics function
Where, $\mathbf{r} = \ \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\ $	$\ y\ = \sqrt{(x - x_j)^2 + (y - y_j)^2}$

The radial basis functions solve the partial differential equations considering a scattered data over the domain. In the present work multiquadrics radial basis function is used. The solution of the any differential equations is assumed in terms of radial basis function as;

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{u} g \left(\left\| X - X_{j} \right\|, c \right)$$
(1.1)

Where, N is total numbers of nodes which is equal to summation of boundary nodes N_B and domain interior nodes N_D. $g(||X - X_j||, c)$ is radial basis function, α_j^u is unknown coefficient. $\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_{j}\|$ is the radial distance between two nodes.

The given partial differential is expressed and boundary and interior nodes

$$Lu(X) = \lambda u(X)$$
, Interior nodes (i = 1: N_D)

Bu(X) = 0, Boundary nodes (i = N_D + 1: N_B)

$$\begin{bmatrix} Lu \\ Bu \end{bmatrix} \{X\} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \{X\}$$
(1.2)

Where X is eigenvectors, L and B are the differential operator, u is the variable which is replaced by radial basis function. λ is eigenvalue and obtained by standard Eigen solvers of computational software.

III. **GOVERNING DIFFERENTIAL** EOUATIONS AND ITS DISCRETIZATION

A square plate having edge length a along x and y direction, thickness h along z direction made up of perfectly bonded laminas and subjected to in plane load N_{xb} N_{yb} and N_{xyb} is considered and mathematical formulation of the actual physical problem of the laminated composite plate subjected to mechanical loading is presented. The displacement field at any point in the laminated composite plate made up of perfectly bonded laminas of uniform thickness is expressed as:

$$u'(x,y,z) = u(x,y) - z \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + f(z)\phi_x$$
$$v'(x,y,z) = v(x,y) - z \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + f(z)\phi_y$$
$$w'(x,y) = w(x,y)$$
(2)

Where, $f(z) = \frac{h}{\pi} \sin(\frac{\pi z}{h})$ is transverse shear stress

function proposed by Tauratier [12] and the parameters u', v' and w' are the in-plane and transverse displacements of the plate at any point (x, y, z) in x, y and z directions, respectively.

u, v and w are the displacements at mid plane of the plate at any point (x, y) in x, y and z directions, respectively.

The functions ϕ_x and ϕ_y are the higher order rotations of the normal to the mid plane due to shear deformation about y and x axes, respectively.

Combining the linear strain-displacement relations with assumed displacement field and using variational approach, the descretised GDEs of the plate are obtained and expressed as:



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 5, May 2015

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(A_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} + 2A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(A_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(-B_{11} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{3}} - B_{12} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - 2B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial y \partial x^{2}} - B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial y \partial x^{2}} - 2B_{66} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\varphi} \left(E_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\varphi} \left(E_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + 2E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(A_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(2A_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + A_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + A_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(-B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{3}} - B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - 2B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - 2B_{66} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} - B_{12} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} - B_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{2}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(2E_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \left(B_{11} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}} + 3B_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + \left(B_{12} + 2B_{66} \right) \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial y^{3}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \left(B_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial y^{3}} + 3B_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + \left(B_{12} + 2B_{66} \right) \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + B_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} v}{\partial x^{3}} \right) - \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \left(D_{11} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{4}} + \left(2D_{12} + 4D_{66} \right) \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}} + 4D_{16} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + 4D_{26} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial x \partial y^{3}} + D_{22} \frac{\partial^{4} w}{\partial y^{4}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \left(F_{11} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{x}}{\partial x^{3}} + 3F_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{x}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + \left(F_{12} + 2F_{66} \right) \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{x}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + F_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{x}}{\partial y^{3}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \left(+ F_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{y}}{\partial y^{3}} + 3F_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{y}}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} + \left(F_{12} + 2F_{66} \right) \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{y}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y} + F_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi_{y}}{\partial x^{3}} \right) = \\ &N_{xb} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}} + N_{yb} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} + 2N_{xbb} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y} \end{split}$$

(3.3)

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(E_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x^{2}} + 2E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial y^{2}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(E_{12} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{26} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial y^{2}} E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(-F_{11} \frac{\partial^{3}g}{\partial x^{3}} - F_{12} \frac{\partial^{3}g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - 3F_{16} \frac{\partial^{3}g}{\partial y \partial x^{2}} - F_{26} \frac{\partial^{3}g}{\partial y^{3}} - 2F_{66} \frac{\partial^{3}g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} \right) + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{y} \left(H_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x^{2}} + 2H_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} + H_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial y^{2}} - A_{55}g \right) + \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\varphi_{j}} \left(H_{12} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} + H_{16} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x^{2}} + H_{22} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial y^{2}} + H_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}g}{\partial x \partial y} - A_{45}g \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{u} \bigg(E_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \bigg) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{v} \bigg(2E_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + E_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + E_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} \bigg) + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{u} \bigg(-F_{16} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x^{3}} - 2F_{66} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial y \partial x^{2}} - 3F_{26} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial x \partial y^{2}} - F_{12} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial y \partial x^{2}} - F_{22} \frac{\partial^{3} g}{\partial y^{3}} \bigg) + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \bigg(H_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + H_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + H_{12} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + H_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} - A_{45} g \bigg) + \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j}^{\phi} \bigg(2H_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x \partial y} + H_{66} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} + H_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial y^{2}} - A_{44} g \bigg) = 0 \end{split}$$

$$(3.5)$$

The boundary conditions for a simply supported edge are:

$$x = 0, a : v = 0 : \phi_y = 0; w = 0 : M_x = 0 : N_x = 0$$
$$y = 0, b : u = 0 : \phi_x = 0 : w = 0 : M_y = 0 : N_y = 0$$

IV. EIGEN VALUE BUCKLING ANALYSIS

The discretized governing differential equation for the plate subjected to inplane loads is expressed as eigen value for predicting the critical buckling load

$$\left[\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{E}}\right] - \mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{cr}}\left[\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{G}}\right] \times \left\{\delta\right\} = \left\{0\right\}$$

Where K_E is the stiffness matrix and K_G is geometric stiffness matrix, N_{cr} is eigen value or critical buckling load

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The several numerical examples are solved for demonstration of accuracy of the present method. In the present work three and four layered cross ply laminated and single layered orthotropic plate subjected to uniaxial and biaxial compression are undertaken.



Figure 1: Convergence of present method for cross ply plate with different values of shape parameters (E1/E2 = 10)



Figure 2: Convergence of present method for cross ply plate with different values of shape parameters (E1/E2 = 3)



ISSN (Print) 2394-1588 International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 5, May 2015



Figure 3: Effect of width to thickness ratio on critical buckling load of orthotropic plate subjected to uniaxial and biaxial loading

Thciness Ratio, a/h



Figure 4: Effect of fibre orientation on critical buckling load of orthotropic plate subjected to uniaxial and biaxial loading



Figure 5: Effect of inplane shear on buckling load of simply supported symmetric cross ply plate subjected to uniaxial compression

Table 1: Convergence studies of present method forcritical buckling load of (0/90/0) cross ply plate subjectedto uniaxial edge compression (a/h = 10)

	Mathad	Orthotropic Ratio (E ₁ /E ₂)					
с	wiethod	3	10	20	30	40	
	[7]	5.38	9.860	14.97	19.01	22.30	
		69	10	46	75	70	
	[13]	5.39	9.940	15.29	19.67	23.34	
		33	60	80	40	00	
1.2/	(11×11)	5.45	9.975	15.14	19.24	22.59	
1.3/		45	00	43	16	08	
5	(12×12)	5.42	9.929	15.07	19.16	22.52	
	(15×15)	90	70	96	85	01	
	(15×15)	5.41	9.907	15.04	19.13	22.49	
	(13×13)	49	40	85	67	53	
	(17×17)	5.41	9.895	15.03	19.12	22.48	
	(17×17)	08	60	26	27	81	
	[7]	5.38	9.860	14.97	19.01	22.30	
		69	10	46	75	70	
	[12]	5.39	9.940	15.29	19.67	23.34	
	[15]	33	60	80	40	00	
1.1/	(11×11)	5.47	10.02	15.21	19.31	22.63	
		71	54	69	17	43	
5	(13×13)	5.44	9.961	15.12	19.20	22.53	
		30	30	26	54	21	
	(15×15)	5.42	9.928	15.07	19.16	22.50	
		60	50	77	16	12	
	(17×17)	5.41	9.910	15.03	19.14	22.49	
		68	60	26	36	62	
	[7]	5.38	9.860	14.97	19.01	22.30	
0.9/ N ^{0.2} 5		69	10	46	75	70	
	[13]	5.39	9.940	15.29	19.67	23.34	
		33	60	80	40	00	
	(11×11)	5.51	10.10	15.31	19.38	22.60	
		51	12	44	22	89	
	(13×13)	5.46	10.00	15.17	19.21	22.44	
		51	44	11	56	17	
	(15×15)	5.43	9.955	15.10	19.15	22.40	
		95	90	52	13	17	
	(17×17)	5.42	9.929	15.07	19.12	22.41	
		53	70	33	97	00	

ISSN (Online) 2393-8021



International Advanced Research Journal in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 2, Issue 5, May 2015

Table 2: Effect of width to thickness ratio of an
orthotropic plate on critical buckling load subjected to
uniaxial and biaxial compression

a/h	Loading	Present	Sundaresan	Reddy
			[14]	[15]
4	Uniaxial	6.61550		
	Biaxial	2.54870		
10	Uniaxial	16.0657	15.8740	15.874
	Biaxial	5.93700	5.83720	5.8370
20	Uniaxial	21.0418		20.953
	Biaxial	7.59140		7.5550
50	Uniaxial	23.0906		
	Biaxial	8.25900		
100	Uniaxial	23.4159	23.3817	23.381
	Biaxial	8.36220	8.36980	8.3690

Table 3: Effect of anisotropic ratio on critical buckling load for symmetric cross ply plate subjected to uniaxial edge compression (a/h = 10)

Laminate	Orthotropic Ratio (E ₁ /E ₂)					
	3	10	20	30	40	
0/90/0	5.4108	9.8956	15.0326	19.1227	22.4881	
0/90/90/0	5.4161	10.025	15.5252	20.0520	23.8166	

Table 4: Effect of anisotropic ratio on critical buckling load for symmetric cross ply plate subjected to biaxial edge compression (a/h = 10)

Laminate	Orthotropic Ratio (E_1/E_2)					
	3	10	20	30	40	
0/90/0	2.7056	4.9493	7.5220	8.9756	10.1973	
0/90/90/0	2.7083	5.0455	7.7929	10.0498	11.9452	



Figure 6: Effect of inplane shear on buckling load of simply supported symmetric cross ply plate subjected to biaxial compression

Convergence study:

The square cross ply (0/90/0) moderately thick laminate composite plate subjected to uniaxial compression with simply supported edges is considered for convergence of the present method. The convergence is investigated for different values of shape parameters. The relative material properties of an orthotropic lamina are taken as

$$\begin{split} E_1/E_2 &= 3,\,10,\,20,\,30,\,40;\,G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.6E_2;\,G_{23} = 0.5E_2;\,\nu \\ &= 0.25 \end{split}$$

It is found that the present method is fast converging for greater value of shape parameters. The convergence is achieved for 17×17 domain nodes. The present multiquadrics results are compared with earlier published results by Reddy and Phan [13] and wavelets results of Ferreira et al [7]. It is observed that the present method produces the results with good accuracy and shown in Table 1 for different value of shape parameters and anisotropy ratio (E₁/E₂). **Figures 1 and 2** shows convergence of present method with different values of shape parameter and orthotropy ratio E₁/E₂ of 10 and 3 respectively. The results are presented in non dimensional form using expression:

$$\lambda_{\rm Ncr} = \frac{N_{\rm cr}a^2}{E_2h^3}$$



Orthotropic plate:

In this section square orthotropic plate having different The buckling analysis of laminated composite and values of length to thickness ratio subjected to uniaxial orthotropic plates using radial basis functions is presents. orthotropic lamina are taken as

 $E_1/E_2 = 25; G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.5E_2; G_{23} = 0.2E_2; v = 0.25$

Reddy [15]; Sundaresan et al [14] and found accurate. be used as a new tool for the plate buckling analysis. The **Figure 3 and 4** shows the effect of width to thickness ratio and fibre orientation on the critical buckling load [1]. Mindlin RD. Influence of rotary inertia and shear on flexural factor of the plate as expected.

Cross ply laminated composite plate:

The three and four layered symmetric cross ply plates with simply supported edge subjected to uniaxial and biaxial compression is considered. The relative material properties of an orthotropic lamina are taken as

 $E_1/E_2 = 3, 10, 20, 30, 40; G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.6E_2; G_{23} = 0.5E_2;$ v = 0.25

Table 3 shows the critical buckling load factor for [0/90/0]and [0/90/90/0] cross ply moderately thick plates (a/h=10) [7]. Ferreira AJM, Castro LM, Roque CMC, Reddy JN, Bertoluzza S. subjected to uniaxial loading with different values of anisotropy ratio. It is observed that the present meshless method proved good accuracy for buckling analysis.

Table 4 shows the critical buckling load factor of symmetric cross ply moderately thick plate subjected to biaxial loading with different values of anisotropy ratio.

Figure 5 and 6 shows the effect on inplane shear load on the buckling parameter for cross ply plate subjected to [11]. Liew KM, Wang J, Ng TY, Tan MJ. Free vibration and buckling uniaxial and biaxial loading for the material having orthotropic ratio E_1/E_2 of 40. The effect has been studied over the wide range of span to thickness ratio. It is observed that when value of inplane shear load is small say $N_{xy}/N_x = 0.5$ the effect on buckling parameter is [14]. Sundaresan P, Singh G, Rao GV. Buckling of moderately thick observed to be negligible, where as when $N_{xy}/N_x = 2$ the buckling load factor is highly reduced. Further, the effect of in plane shear is more for thick plates as compare to thin plates.

VI. CONCLUSION

and biaxial compression with simply supported edges is Both uniaxial and biaxial loading of the plates are under considered. The relative material properties of an taken. The present results are compared several earlier published literature. The plate kinematics is based on the trigonometric shear deformation theory and eigen problem Table 2 shows the critical buckling load factor for is defined in terms of radial basis function to compute the orthotropic plate subjected to uniaxial and biaxial loading. critical buckling loads. The present shows good accuracy The present results are compared with earlier results of and convergence for the plate problems. This method can

REFERENCES

- motions of isotropic elastic plates. ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 18 (1951) 31-38.
- [2]. Reissner E. Reflection on the theory of elastic plates. ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 38 (1945) 1453-1464.
- Reddy JN. A simple higher-order theory for laminated composite [3]. plates. ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics 51 (1984) 745-752
- Xiang S, Ke-ming Wang, Yan-ting Ai, Yun-dong Sha, Shi H. [4]. Analysis of isotropic, sandwich and laminated plates by a meshless method and various shear deformation theories. Composite Structures 91(2009) 31-37.
- [5]. Xiang S, Shao-xi Jiang, Ze-yang Bi, Yao-xing Jin, Ming-sui Yang. A nth-order meshless generalization of Reddy's third-order shear deformation theory for the free vibration on laminated composite plates. Composite Structures 93 (2011) 299-307
- [6]. Castro LMS, Ferreira AJM, Bertoluzza S, Batra RC, Reddy JN. A wavelet collocation method for the static analysis of sandwich plates using a layerwise theory. Composite Structures 92 (2010) 1786-179
- Buckling analysis of laminated plates by wavelets. Computers and Structures 89 (2011) 626-630
- [8]. Ferreira AJM, Roque CMC, Neves AMA, Jorge RMN, Soares CMM, Liew KM. Buckling and vibration analysis of isotropic and laminated plates by radial basis functions. Composites: Part B Engineering (2010)
- Liew KM, Huang YQ. Bending and buckling of thick symmetric [9]. rectangular laminates using the moving least-squares differential quadrature method. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 45 (2003) 95-114
- [10]. Liew KM, Chen XL, Reddy JN. Meshfree radial basis function method for buckling analysis of non-uniformly loaded arbitrarily shaped shear deformable plates. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics Engineering 193 (2004a) 205-224
- analyses of shear-deformable plates based on FSDT meshfree method Journal of Sound and Vibration 276 (2004b) 997-1017
- [12]. Touratier M. An efficient standard plate theory. International Journal Engineering Science 29 (1991) 901–916.
- [13]. Reddy JN, Phan ND. Stability and vibration of isotropic, orthotropic and laminated plates according to a higher-order shear deformation theory. Journal of Sound and Vibrations 98 (1985)157 - 170.
- rectangular composite plates subjected to partial edge compression. International Journal of Mechanical Sciences 40 (1998) 1105-1117
- [15]. Reddy JN. Mechanics of Laminated Composite Plates and Shells. CRC Press. 2004